IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO. 114 of 2007

DATE OF DECISION: 09.01.2007.

Archana ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE J.S. KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.D. ANAND

PRESENT: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

J.S. Khehar, J. (oral)

Notice of motion.

On our asking, Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the controversy raised in the instant writ petition is identical to the one raised in CWP No.18733 of 2006 (Mahesh Kumar V. State of Punjab), disposed of on 27.11.2006. It is also pointed out that the aforesaid writ petition was disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. the Director Public Instructions (Secondary Education), Punjab, requiring him to take a final decision on the representation, to be made by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that he has no objection to the disposal of the instant writ petition, in terms of the order passed by this Court in CWP No.18733 of 2006. Ordered accordingly.

This order will, however, be subject to the condition, that the representation, in question, is made within seven days from today, along with a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

Order dasti, on payment of usual charges.

(J.S. Khehar)
Judge

(S.D. Anand)
Judge

January 09, 2007.
vig